

>

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/265,432	03/10/1999		AKIHIRO TERADA	392.1627/JDH	4506	
21171	7590	11/25/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS &		Y LLP	NGUYEN, THU V			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DO	20005	3661			
				DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/265,432	licant(s) TERADA ET AL.	X
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thu Nguyen	3661	

1/1

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check 6	either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final reject The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MOONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN 706.07(f).	or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension atutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further consideration	on and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form issues for appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	onding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because:	ion has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not a raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will n explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 9</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-14	49) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	19 mjen bre
	Thu Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: added limitations need further consideration. Further, the added limitations do not overcome the teachings of Nio et al (US 4,728,974). Fig. 1A of Nio shows certain radius offset from the end effector 8 to the final axis 3'..